COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

JOINT STIPULATION RE CASE SCHEDULE AND PROPOSED ORDER CASE NO. 5:20-CV-07956-VKD 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Pursuant to this Court's Case Management Order (ECF No. 99) and Civil L.R. 6-2, Plaintiffs Joseph Taylor, Edward Mlakar, Mick Cleary, Eugene Alvis, and Jennifer Nelson ("Plaintiffs") and Defendant Google LLC ("Google") (collectively, the "Parties"), by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

WHEREAS, counsel for the Parties are also litigating the *Csupo, et al. v. Google LLC* matter in the Superior Court of Santa Clara County (Complex Division) before Judge Charles F. Adams, which raises materially the same claims at issue here;

WHEREAS, on April 9, 2025, the Parties previously stipulated to the following deadlines, which were approved in the Court's April 10, 2025 scheduling order (ECF 189): (1) July 8, 2022 for the Parties' reply briefs for their respective expert challenge motions and for Plaintiffs' reply brief for their class certification motion, and (2) July 22, 2025 for the hearing on the foregoing motions;

**WHEREAS**, when the above dates were set, the jury trial in *Csupo* was scheduled to begin June 2, 2025 and expected to end no later than June 20, 2025, which would have allowed counsel for the Parties sufficient time to prepare and file the above briefing by the July 8, 2025 deadline;

**WHEREAS**, due to the Superior Court's availability in the week of June 9, 2025 and other scheduling issues that were unanticipated at the time of the Parties' April 9, 2025 stipulation, closing arguments in the *Csupo* trial did not occur until June 30, 2025;

WHEREAS, the Parties jointly request an extension, as set forth below, of the briefing deadlines and the hearing date for their pending motions, and agree that good cause exists to grant the requested extensions for the following reasons;

**WHEREAS**, given the unexpected length of jury trial proceedings in the parallel *Csupo* action, in which all counsel for the Parties here are involved, the requested extensions are necessary so that the Parties can prepare and present the most helpful briefing to this Court on their pending motions;

WHEREAS, the requested extensions will not impact other deadlines or the trial date in this case

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties, by and through their respective counsel, stipulate and

agree that the following revisions should be made to the case schedule:

2

3

1

7 8 9

10

12

11

13

1415

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

2627

28

Class Certification and Expert Challenges Replies	uly 8, 2025	July 22, 2025
Class Certification and Expert Challenges Hearing	fuly 22, 2025	Either August 11 or 12, 2025 (subject to the Court's availability)

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: July 1, 2025

Dated: July 1, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

COOLEY LLP

By: /s/ Whitty Somvichian
Whitty Somvichian

Attorney for Defendant GOOGLE LLC

KOREIN TILLERY LLC

By: /s/ Marc A. Wallenstein
Marc A. Wallenstein

Attorney for Plaintiffs

## **ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE IN FILING**

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, the undersigned hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

SAN FRANCISCO

	Case 5:20-cv-07956-VKD	Document 202	Filed 07/01/25	Page 5 of 5	
1	Dated: July 1, 2025	COC	COOLEY LLP		
2					
3		/s/W	Thitty Somvichian		
4			ty Somvichian		
5		Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE LLC			
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13		<u>PROPOSEI</u>	O ORDER		
14					
15	PURSUANT TO STIPULAT	TION, IT IS SO OF	RDERED.		
16					
17	DATED:	The 1	Honorable Virginia	K. DeMarchi	
18		Unite	ed States District Ju	dge	
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28			<b>T</b> (	Property and the Control of Control	
	1		JOINT S	STIPULATION RE CASE SCHEDULE	

COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO